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A KEY TO THE WORLD GENERA OF MALACHIIDAE
By M. Y. Marshall, M.D. ${ }^{1}$
When attempting to identify specimens of exotic Malachiidae sent to me for that purpose, I have been seriously handicapped by the absence of any published key to all the described genera of the family, an absence which became apparent when I found that there was no volume on the Malachiidae in Wytsman's monumental work on the "GeneraInsectorum." The present paper is an attempt to supply that deficit, which effort has involved the expenditure of considerable time, extending over a period of two or three years, as well as a certain amount of money, in accumulating the original descriptions and actual examples of as many of the genera as possible.

The tentative and preliminary character of the key here offered becomes obvious when it is considered that the most recently published catalog of the family is the volume by Dr. J. Greiner (1937), in the "Catalogus Coleopterorum," and that since that time several additional genera have been described. Mr. A. M. J. Evers, of Krefeld, Germany, has been working for some time on a supplement to that volume and he informs me that it will still be a "couple of years" before the Supplement can be published. When, and if, such a supplement does appear, it is my hope to be able to revise the present key and bring it up to date. Also, there has been considerable controversy, especially between Messrs. Champion and Pic, concerning the status of certain genera and subgenera, so that a critical generic revision of the family, which is much needed, will have to await the accumulation of more material than
${ }^{1}$ Murfreesboro, Tenn.
is at present available to me. In spite of these various drawbacks, and of the imperfections which they necessarily entail, it is hoped that the following key will be found useful to students of this small but interesting family of beetles and that it will serve as a basis and stimulus for further work.

## Geographical Distribution

The family Malachiidae, which is most closely related to the Dasytidae and which was combined by Leng (1920) with the latter family under the name Melyridae, appears to have originated in the Mediterranean area, since it is in that area that it is the richest in both genera and species. Greiner (1937) recognized 84 genera in the entire family. Abeille de Perrin (1890-91), in his "Malachides d'Europe et les pays voisins," which is the above mentioned "Mediterranean area," lists 24 genera. Leng (1920) recognizes only 12 genera from North America north of Mexico, an area about comparable in size to that covered by Abeille de Perrin. Champion (1914) lists 9 genera from Mexico and Central America, Blackwelder (1945) lists 14 genera from South America and Lea (1909) lists 6 genera from the Australian area, including New Zealand and Tasmania. These are the only works, to my knowledge, dealing with the Malachiidae of various faunal areas, except, of course, the standard European texts, which are covered by Abeille de Perrin and by Jakobson (1911), in "Käfer Russlands," which latter I have been unable to obtain. It is regretable that there is no volume containing the Malachiidae in the "Fauna of British India," which includes Ceylon and Burma. A search through Greiner's (1937) volume in the "Catalogus Coleopterorum" gives the following results for the remaining large faunal areas. Southern Asia, including India, Maylasia, Indonesia and the Philippines, 26 genera; Oriental area, including China, Japan and Formosa, 9 genera; Pacific area, 3 genera; Africa, exclusive of North Africa, 30 genera; North and Central Asia, 12 genera.

Thus it appears that the family spread from the Mediterranean area through the great land masses, toward the east to southern Asia and toward the south to central and southern Africa. There appears to have been a secondary center of development in southern Africa, with the evolution of a number of small genera centering around the genus Hedybius, none of which have extended beyond that area. If one considers only the number of genera, the South Asia area might also qualify as the original home of the family. Two considerations, however, make such a conclusion highly improbable. The first is that many of the largest and most widely distributed genera have only one or two spe-
cies in this area, whereas they are represented by dozens of species in the Mediterranean area; the second is that, if the South Asia area were the original home of the family, one would expect to find a greater number of genera in the adjacent Australian area. Still a third consideration is that the mere extent of the South Asia area would lead one to expect it to contain a larger number of genera than the Mediterranean area.

The presence of 14 genera in South America might lead one to suppose that continent is as well supplied with Malachiidae as the North American continent, but such is not the case. Mr. Walter Wittmer, of Buenos Aires, who is a world authority on Malachiidae, informs me that these beetles are rarely met with in South America and that he has never taken more than one or two specimens of a species at any time. For instance, in several weeks of collecting, last year, in northern Argentina and southern Brazil, he was able to secure not more than half a dozen specimens in this family. This is quite a contrast to the situation in North America, where many collections contain series of several hundred specimens of the same species. I have also met with the same situation in attempting to arrange exchanges with the leading museums in such places as India, Java, Japan, Madagascar, Australia and New Zealand, the reply being monotonously the same, i.e., their collection of Malachiidae was very small and did not contain over one or two specimens in any one species.

The North American continent appears to have received its malachiid fauna from Europe, just how or when I will not pretend to say ; but most of our genera also occur in Europe and we have several species which have been obviously introduced from that area, one, Anthocomus bipunctatus Harrer, so recently that it has not yet found its way into our catalogs. There is also a secondary center of development on our Pacific Coast, where such genera as Endeodes and Tanaops appear, the latter genus obviously an offshoot from the widely distributed Altalus and still in a state of considerable lability.

The Pacific area, almost devoid of Malachiidae, has one species each in such widely separated localities as the Solomon Islands, the Marquesas, the Sandwich Islands and Christmas Island. It is a minor mystery how the species Carphuroides pectinatus, described by Sharp (1885) as a Helcogaster, ever reached its present place of abode in Honolulu. It was obviously introduced, however, since it also occurs, together with its relatives, in the Indonesian and Oriental areas. A careful study of some of the larger genera, of worldwide distribution, such as Attalus,
would undoubtedly throw more light on the question of distribution but, since this is not primarily a study in zoogeography, I will content myself with the above observations.

In preparing the following key, I have adopted the same characters for the primary divisions of the Malachiinae as were used by Abeille de Perrin, both because his is the most extensive key to date and because I am not able to discover any better characters for the purpose. I have also used his names for these groups, since they are already well known to students of the family throughout the world and since I regard the useless compounding of names as objectionable. It has not been deemed necessary to list the bibliographical references to the original descriptions of the various genera, or to discuss each genus as to its size and detailed distribution, since this key is intended to be used in connection with the most recent catalog of the family, i.e., that of Greiner (1937) in Junk's Coleopterorum Catalogus, which furnishes pretically all of the information in question. Likewise, subgenera have been ignored, as it is not felt that they have a place in keys of this character.

In the case of several genera it has not been possible to determine from the literature whether the genus belongs under the Malachiaires or the Colotaires, since many authors failed to make any mention of the position of the antennal foveae. Under such circumstances, when there was no specimen available to settle the point, the genus has been placed in both sections of the key. The same difficulty has at times arisen in deciding whether to place a given genus under the Malachiaires or the Troglopaires. It would help matters considerably if the Troglopaires could be separated first but, unfortunately, this cannot be done, since several species of Malachius have the head variously grooved and spined. Certain genera, such as Hedybius, are necessarily treated under two sections of the key. It is quite possible that this genus should be divided, but I am not sufficiently familiar with the numerous species to express any more definite opinion.

The character used by Abeille de Perrin for separating the Malachiaires from the Colotaires, i.e., whether the antennae are inserted nearer to the eyes than to the "anterior border of the head," is not entirely satisfactory. Abeille was the only author who consistently noted the position of the antennal insertions in his generic descriptions and it is not clear whether he considered the "anterior border of the head" to be the frontoclypeal suture, the anterior margin of the clypeus or the anterior margin of the labrum. The frontoclypeal suture is often indistinct or wanting and the matter is further complicated by the fact that
in many of the genera the membranous connection between the clypeus and the labrum is more or less extended, thus varying the apparent length of the front portion of the head. In case the genus sought is not found under the Malachiaires, it is suggested that the student look for it under the Colotaires, and vice versa. No difficulty should be encountered with the other characters separating the primary divisions of the family.

## Key to the Genera

1. First protarsal segment in the male with a black comb beneath; tarsal joints 2-4, 3 and 4, or 4 dilated and bilobed; terminal abdominal segments in the male simple; elytra abbreviated in both sexes. Carphurinae.
2. Elytra strongly dilated; prothorax abruptly constricted at base. New Guinea, Moluccas
Elytra parallel or slightly widened posteriorly
Choresine Pascoe
3. Elytra (male) sinuate and strongly dehiscent at apex. New Guinea.

Elytra truncate or rounded at apex
4. First antennal segment (male) strongly dilated. Key Islands.


6
6. Antennae flabellate in the male. Australia, New Guinea, Indonesio.

Balanophorus Macleay
Antennae not flabellate in the male
7. Prothorax narrowed anteriorly and posteriorly, the disc strong!y convex; head flattened. New Guinea

Carphurotroglops Pic
Prothorax more or less narrowed posteriorly, the disc flat or slightly convex
8
8. Tarsal joints all narrow, joint 4 feebly lobed; head not excavated. Indo-Malaya. $\qquad$
Carphuroides Champion
Tarsal joints 2-4 or 3 and 4 dilated and bilobed 9
9. Prothorax constricted posteriorly into a narrow neck and deeply excavated before the base; head excavated. Australia, New Guinea, Moluccas. $\qquad$ Neoçarphurus Lea Prothorax only moderately constricted of the base 10
10. Head (male) usually not excavated; elytra distinctly punctate. Australia, New Guinea, Indo-Malaya.

Carphurus Erichson

Head (male) strongly excavated; elytra glabrous or nearly so. Australia. $\qquad$
Helcogaster Boheman
11. Antennae distinctly 11 -segmented (a few 9 -segmented). Oloceres.12Antennae apparently 10 -segmented, the second segment concealed within the distalend of the first. Entomoceres.
12. Males with the second protarsal segment simple. ..... 13
Males with the second protarsal segment prolonged in a free lobe over the third Attalaires. ..... 44
13. Antennae inserted at the anterior border of the front; labrum indistinct or very nar- row ..... 14
Antennae inserted nearer to the eyes than to the anterior border of the head. Mala- chiaries. ..... 60
14. Front excavated or spined in the males. Troglopaires. ..... 15
Front normal or slightly depressed, without spines. Colotaires. ..... 26
15. Anterior tarsi 5 -segmented in the male ..... 16
Anterior tarsi 4 -segmented in the male ..... 23
16. Antennae flabellate in male, pectinate in female. Persia. Condylops Redtenbacher Antennae not flabellate or pectinate ..... 17
17. Maxilary palpi with terminal segment securiform ..... 18
Maxillary palpi with terminal segment not securiform ..... 19
18. Prothorax elongate, constricted posteriorly, anterior portion gibbous. South Africa.Chalicorus Erichson
Prothorax transversely cordate. South Africa Chalicoroides Champion
19. Maxillary palpi with terminal segment oblong-attenuate, the tip acuminate or very ..... 20narrowly truncate
Maxillary palpi with terminal segment oval, strongly truncate ..... 22
20. First protarsal segment (male) with a rounded protuberance on the inner side; labrum deflexed, truncate. U. S. A. (Mississippi, Texas) Nodopus Marshall2 ${ }^{2}$ First protarsal segment simple. ..... 21
21. Tarsi very long; elytra abbreviated; both sexes apterous. Mediterranean,
Brachemys Abeille de PerrinTarsi short; elytra long in male, slightly abbreviated in female. Mediterranean
$\qquad$ Cephaloncus Westwood
22. Tarsi short, densely pilose beneath; labrum truncate; both sexes alate. South and East Africa, India, China Hedybius Erichson Tarsi longer, the pubescence normal; females apterous. South AfricaDinometopus Gorham
23. Elytra abbreviated. Mediterranean.

$\qquad$
Callotroglops Abeille de Perrin Elytra covering the abdomen or nearly so ..... 24
24. Prothorax angularly produced anteriorly. South Africa.

$\qquad$
Philhedonus Gorham 25. Last segment of maxillary palpi strongly truncate. Mediterranean, South Africa,Central Asia.
Troglops Erichson
Last segment of maxillary palpi fusiform, scarcely truncate. Mediterranean.

[^0]26. Antennae 9 -segmented; tarsi 5 -segmented; elytra broad, covering the abdomen. South America.

Tucumanius Pic



28. First antennal segment (male) abnormally dilated; front (male) transversely divided, the anterior portion flat, the posterior convex. South Africa.

Colpometopus Abeille de Perrin
First antennal segment normal; front not so divided 29
29. First antennal segment (male) with a long tooth at the base; joints 3 and 4 of maxillary palpi enlarged, 3 globular, 4 triangular; prothorax strongly narrowed pos-

First antennal segment normal; joints 3 and 4 of maxillary palpi enlarged and deformed

30
30. Prothorax convex, cordate; both sexes apterous; elytra long, humeri obsolete. South Africa.

Olisterarthrus Champion
Prothorax transverse; humeri distinct; alate (a few females apterous). Mediterranean, South Africa, South Russia, India, China, Mexico.

Colotes Erichson
31. Tarsi apparently 4 -segmented, the third segment bilobed, the fourth minute, as in Chrysomelidae 32

32. First segment of posterior tarsi (male) with a long spine; last segment of maxillary palpi narrowly truncate; labrum rounded; claws without membranes. South America.

Cryptotarsus Kirsch
Posterior tarsi without spine 33
33. Elytra covering the abdomen; last joint of maxillary palpi oval, narrowly truncate. South and Central America. Dromanthus Gorham
Elytra short, four abdominal segments exposed; labrum truncate; last joint of maxillary palpi acuminate; claws with short membranes. South America.

Lemphus Erichson




36. Abdomen with long margina! hairs. U. S. A..------------------------ Chaetocoelus Leconte

37. First antennal segment abnormally enlarged (male); prothorax strongly dilated anteriorly, constricted and flattened posteriorly. New Guinea. Falsolaius Pic First antennal segment normal; prothorax simply transverse. Mediterranean. South Africa.

Pelochrous Mulsant and Rey
38. Antennal segments 8 to 11 forming a club; first protarsal segment (male) prolonged in a spine; last joint of maxillary palpi securiform. Usambara.

Malachiolemphus Pic
Antennae not clubbed39. First segment of all the tarsi minute; last joint of maxillary palpi obconic. Australia.First segment of tarsi normal40
40. Maxillary palpi with last joint oval, narrowly truncate. Palestine Abeilla Sahlberg Maxillary palpi with joints 3 and 4 enlarged and deformed ..... 41
41. Elytra explanate laterally; anterior trachanters elongate. South Africa.Sphinginopalpus Pic
Elytra not explanate; trochanters normal. East and Central Africa.
Pseudocoletes Abeille de Perrin
42. Elytral appendices spiniform; females apterous; last joint of maxillary palpi narrowly truncate. Mediterranean, North Europe, South Russia, India, Tibet.Charopus Erichson
Flytral appendages auriculate; last joint of maxillary palpi narrowly truncate; bothsexes alate.43
43. Mediterranean, India, Central Asia, Japar.

$\qquad$
Hypebaeus Kiesenwetter
North America.

$\qquad$
Pseudebaeus Horn
44. Antennae 9 -segmented; last joint of maxillary palpi narrow, subacuminate; elytrastrongly widened posteriorly, short in female, not appendiculate. Central and SouthAmerica.Pseudattalus Champion
Antennae |l-segmented ..... 45
45. Antennae inserted between the eyes; last joint of maxillary palpi fusiform, narrowlytruncate: elytra with erect hairs, the apex plicate and with a minute linear append-age. Mediterranean.Axinotarsus Motschulsky
Antennae inserted anterior to an ideal preocular line ..... 46
46. Elytra abbreviated in both sexes ..... 47
Elytra covering the abdomen or nearly so ..... 49
47. Antennae (male) flabellate; maxillary palpi with terminal joint subcylindrical, trun-cate, prothorax transverse: body covered with semierect hair; females apterous.South Africa.Anexodes Abeille de Perrin
Antennae serrate ..... 48
;8. Size not over 2 mm .; sutural margins of elytra in contact almost to tips. U. S. A.,Mexico.Attalusinus Leng
Size 3 mm . or more; sutral margins of elytra diverging from near the base. Cali- fornia. Endeodes Leconte
49. Front of head excavated or spined ..... 50
Front of head convex or slightly impressed ..... 53
50. Prothorax unidentate laterally, narrowed posteriorly; antennal segments 1, 3, 4, and5 (male) thickened; last joint of maxillary palpi oblong-conic, truncate; tarsal clawslobed; females apterous. South Africa.Matopius ChampionProthorax not dentate.51
bl. Prothorax extended in a bilobed hood over the head; last joint of maxillary palpislender, conical; elytra simple. South Africa..............-...-.......Eucerapheles ChampionProthorax not extended over the head52
52. Antennal segments 5 and 6 (male) abnormally large: last joint of maxillary palpi cylindrical; labrum subquadrate. South Africa. $\qquad$ Illops Erichson Antennal segments 5 and 6 are normal; last joint of maxillary palpi oval, truncate; labrum truncate; tarsi short, densely pilose beneath. South and East Africa, India, China

Hedybius Erichson
53. Prothorax constricted at the base

54. Elytra (male) with a short, broad appendix, impressed at the tip; surface with erect hairs. India.
Elytra not appendiculate and without erect hairs. Mediterranean, Mexico
Sphinginus Mulsant and Rey
55. Elytra with erect hairs, as well as decumbent pubescence 56

56. Antennae with "apex" strongly dilated; last joint of maxillary palpi robust, subtruncate; elytral apices subtumid; otherwise as in Attalus. East Africa.

Lusingattalus Pic
Antennae with apex not dilated 57
57. Eyes in male very large; antennae (male) ramose; last joint of maxillary palpi slender, subacuminate; elytra without appendages. India $\qquad$ Malachiomimus Champion Eyes in male not unusually large 58
58. Elytra appendiculate, each appendage a strong, recurved spine, apparently (but not actually) 3 -segmented; antennae (male) strongly serrate; last joint of maxillary palp. ovoid, obtuse. South and West Africa

Urodactylus Thomson
Elytra very rarely appendiculate and then not as above; last joint of maxillary palpi fusiform, narrowly truncate. Mediterranean, South and East Africa, India, China, Japan, Siberia, North, Central and South America

Attalus Erichson
59. Antennal segment 4 enlarged, triangular; elytra obovoid, nearly twice as wide posteriorly as at base; prothorax orbicular; otherwise presumably as in Ebaeus. India. Periebaeus Gorham
Antennal segment 4 normal; last joint of maxillary palpi ovate, broadly truncate; elytral appendages auriculate. Mediterranean, South and East Africa, India, Japan, Siberia, South America.

Ebaeus Erichson
60. Antennae 9 -segmented; tarsi 5 -segmented; elytra broad, covering the abdomen. South America.

Tucumanius Pic



62. Front (male) transversely divided, the anterior portion flat, the posterior convex; first antennal segment (male) abnormally dilated. South Africa

Colpometopus Abeille de Perrin
Front not transversely divided; head long; first antennal segment normal. Western U. S. A., Mexico.

Trophimus Horn
63. Anterior tibiae (male) with a large, deep impression near the apex, on the anterior face; middle tibiae enlarged, conic, with a rounded fossa near the apex, on the inferior side, the posterior border enlarged and explanate below, terminating in a
strong, obtuse tooth; prothorax with a broad, deep, transverse impression pos-teriorly. SiberiaMezopezus Jakobson
Tibiae not modified as above; prothorax not transversely impressed ..... 64
64. Claws without membranes; antennae inserted between the eyes; last joint of maxillary palpi fusiform, acuminate; thorax transverse; elytra without erect hairs, appendicu- late (male); females apterous. Syria, Iraq, Iran.----------- Chionotopus Abeille de Perrin Claws with distinct membranes ..... 65
65. Prothorax with posterior angles prolonged, the inner margin of the prolongation irregu-larly laciniate. India.Incisomalachius Pic
Posterior angles of prothorax not prolonged ..... 66
66. Fourth tarsal joints all dilated or bilobed ..... 67
Fourth tarsal joints normal ..... 69
67. Fourth tarsal joints dilated "en palette"; prothorax gibbous on the disc; elytra with erect hairs and prominent lateral carinae. Madagascar.---.............Falsoanthocomus Pic Fourth tarsal joints bilobed ..... 68
68. Head posteriorly constricted into a narrow, short neck; mandibles bifid at apex; lastjoint of maxillary palpi enlarged, securiform; antennae filiform. ChileNematocerus SolierHead not abruptly narrowed behind the eyes; mandibles bidentate at apex; palpi and
69. Head excavate; elytra with erect hairs, the apex plicate and spinose; tip of abdomen with a long appendage on each side. India Lobatomixis Pic
Head not excavate, abdomen without appendages at the tip ..... 70
70. Elytra abbreviated, with short humeral carinae; prothorax constricted posteriorly. NewGuineaFalsolaius Pic
Elytra covering the abdomen or nearly so ..... 71
71. Second male protarsal segment enlarged and slightly prolonged over the third (butwith no free lobe as in Attalus); male with ventral abdominal pits; head long.Western U. S. A., Mexico.Tanaops Leconte
Second protarsal segment normal; male without ventral pits ..... 72
72. Elytra laterally margined; last joint of maxillary palpi truncate; elytra simple and with- out erect hairs. Celebes. Dromanthomorphus Pic
Elytra not laterally margined ..... 73
73. Prothorax constricted at the base and at least as long as broad; otherwise as inMalachius. Mediterranear.Cyrtosus Motschulsky
Prothorax not constricted at the base ..... 74
74. Last joint of maxillary palpi broadly truncate ..... 75
Last joint of maxillary palpi acuminate or narrowly truncate ..... 76
75. Elytra (male) appendiculate; erect hairs absent; females apterous. Mediterranean,India, Mongolia.
76. Antennae inserted between the eyes; front at times excavate or spined; elytra at times appendiculate, with or without erect hairs. Mediterranean, South and West Africa, India, Siberia, China, Japan, Philippines, North America

Malachius Fabricius

Antennae inserted in front of an ideal preocular line; elytra without erect hairs, usually appendiculate (male). Mediterranean, South Africa, India, China, North, Central and South America.

Anthocomus Erichson
77. Protarsi (male) apparently 4 -segmented; second antennal segment (the true third) in male usually enlarged and deformed. North, Central and South America, Turkestan, Siberia, Manchuria, Zanzibar.

Collops Erichson
Protarsi (male) distinctly 5 -segmented
78
78. Antennae flabellate (male) or pectinate (female), the second segment (male) "long and broadly triangular"; prothorax bisulcate on the disc. Air, French Africa.

Airomalachius $\mathrm{Pic}^{3}$
Antennae serrate 79
79. Habitus ant-like; prothorax elongate, apex bulbous, base constricted, bituberculate; elytra strongly inflated, with base constricted and humeri spinose; last joint of maxillary palpi elongate-ovoid, truncate. Ceylon, Java, Luzon.

Myrmecophasma Burgeois
Habitus not ant-like 80
80. Antennae (male) with segments 2 and 3 "greatly dilated and subconnate"; male
 Antennae (male) simple or with only segment 2 dilated 81


82. Protarsi (male) simple; antennae (male) with second segment usually enlarged and deformed. Indo-Malaya, Philippines, Australia, Formosa, New Guinea, China, Japan, East and Central Africa, Marianas, Christmas Island..----------------- Laius Guerin Protarsi (male) with second segment prolonged over the thirdi antennae simple. Siberia.

Simoderus Abeille de Perrin
83. Protarsi (male) with second segment prolonged; antennae simple. Mediterranean, all of Africa, South Russia, China, Indo-Malaya, Philippines...-----.-...Apalochrus Erichson

84. Antennae simple; elytra oblong. Europe, South Russia, Siberia, East Africa.-.-.-.-. --

Paratinus Abeille de Perrin
Antennae (male) with second segment deformed; elytra hemispherical; apterous.


It had been my intention to close this paper at the end of the key, but I find it necessary to make certain remarks concerning several of the genera.

Pseudebaeus G. H. Horn. Horn (1872) established this genus for four North American species which apparently possess the same generic characters as the genus Hypebaeus, established by Kiesenwetter (1863). Horn gave no real description of the genus, merely stating his reasons

[^1]for considering it to be distinct from Ebaeus and Anthocomus. He made no mention of Hypebaeus, defined nine years previously, and it appears reasonable to assume that he was not acquainted with this genus. I have examples of the two most common European species of Hypebaeus, flavicollis Erichson, which can probably be considered as the genotype, and flavipes Fabricius. An examination of these fails to disclose any differences between them and the North American species of Pseudebaeus which could be regarded as of generic significance. They are all small insects, from 1 to 2.5 mm . in length, blackish piceous in color, with the thorax in some cases and the apical third or fourth of the elytra in most, yellowish testaceous. The elytra in the males are usually narrowed and produced toward the apex and the peculiar structure of the elytral appendages appears to be identical. I conclude that Pseudebaeus should be suppressed and the species now included therein be transferred to Hypebaeus (NEW SYNONYMY). It is not surprising to find that a genus which is so widely distributed throughout the Old World as Hypebaeus should also occur in North America.

Acletus Leconte. Marshall (1949) recognized this genus as distinct from Attalus, on account of its strongly pectinate antennae, in the male, also being influenced by the fact that its very different habitus from all other North American Attalus had caused its single species, nigrellus Leconte, to be redescribed, by R. Hopping, as Microlipus falli. Subsequent study of the world genera in the family shows that there are numerous genera which contain species with both serrate and pectinate or flabellate antennae and that this cannot properly be considered a generic character. Horn was evidently correct when he transferred the species nigrellus to Attalus, in 1872.

Flabellattalus Pic. which was originally proposed by Pic (1923) as a subgenus of Attalus, but recognized by Greiner (1937) as a valid genus, is evidently a case simliar to that of Acletus and should also be suppressed.

Periebaeus Gorham (1895 p. 320). This genus apparently was never described, the name alone being published by Gorham in connection with his species Periebaeus punctatus. However, since it was published prior to Jan. 1, 1931, the genus must stand, described or not, according to the International Rules (Article 25). It was apparently separated from Ebaeus by the enlarged fourth antennal segment, since the description of punctatus does not mention any other distinguishing character which could be given generic weight. The type of the species is, in all probability, in the British Museum and the genus should be adequately de-
scribed by someone who has access thereto. It has been assumed, in the above key, that the generic characters not mentioned are the same as in the genus Ebaeus.

Mezopezus Jakobson. Since the description of this genus, three lines long in the original, is difficult to obtain, "Zhuki Rossii," usually listed as "Käfer Russlands,' not being found in the Library of Congress or in those of several of the larger museums in this Country, and since it is in Russian, with which language the majority of entomologists, including the present author, are not familiar, I am giving herewith a translation of the original description. "The second segment of the antenna is distinctly visible from below. The forelegs of the male have an impression on the inner side, before the apex; the middle legs are broadened, they have on the inner side at the top a dentate projection with impressions below.' 'The clearer description of the tarsal structure, given in the above key, is from Peyron's translation into French (1877), of Solsky's original description of Apalochrus oberti, the type species of Mezopezus. It is possible that the genus should be placed in the Colotaires, but the peculiar structure of the tibiae, which are apparently fossorial in nature, should serve for its easy identification.

The original descriptions of several of the other genera are so very brief, at times only three or four lines, and lacking in mention of most of the generic characters, that it is difficult to place them accurately in the key. Often, in such cases, the description of the genotype is also very brief, with no additional information except as to color and surface sculpture. This situation has probably resulted in some errors in the key which, it seems, can only be corrected by someone who is in a position to examine the isolated types.

Finally, I wish to express my thanks to Monsieur Maurice Pic, of Digoin, France, without whose kind cooperation the present essay would not have been possible. He not only furnished me with reprints of the articles containing most of his generic descriptions, but, where such reprints were no longer available, and in spite of advanced agé, he laboriously copied, in longhand, several of his descriptions of genera and genotypes.
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